Page **1** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	GMR Sediment			Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
	Investigation Phase:	Phase 1A – GMR	Phase 1B – GMR	Phase 2 - GMR	Phase 1A - QP	
	Investigation Item:	Comparison to Human	Comparison to	Benthic	Comparison to Human Health and	
DQO	_	Health and Ecological	Upstream Conditions	Sampling	Ecological Screening Value	
Sten:		Screening Values	-	•		

1 <u>State the</u> <u>Problem</u> i) Problem description

It is unknown whether the Site has a measurable impact on sediment quality in the GMR. Previous Great Miami River (GMR) sampling found PAH concentrations and some pesticide concentrations greater than conservative ecological screening levels, and arsenic and PAHs concentrations greater than USEPA residential soil RSLs. However, these common contaminants were also found, in similar concentrations, in upstream samples taken by OEPA (1995) in routine sampling of the GMR. Therefore, further data are needed to assess whether downstream concentrations are greater than upstream concentrations and, if so, whether downstream samples pose potential risks to ecological and human receptors.

If contaminant concentrations are greater than sediment benchmarks protective of aquatic life (Phase 1A-GMR), significantly greater than upstream concentrations (Phase 1B-GMR), and are potentially Site-related, a benthic community survey will be completed in accordance with **USEPA** Rapid Bioassessment Protocols (EPA

Previous QP sediment sampling found PAH concentrations greater than conservative Ecological Screening Levels (ESLs), and arsenic and PAH concentrations greater than USEPA industrial soil RSLs. Further data are needed to assess whether QP sediments pose potential risks to ecological and human health risks.

Page **2** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		(GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments		
	igation Phase: stigation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value		
27) Minara Para				841-B-99-002) or OEPA assessment methods.			
ii) Planning team	,	See note at bottom					
iii) Conceptual model	towards the 6 - Contaminar -Fish may up humans.	oundwater from the Site typic. GMR, which could carry contants in sediment can be toxic to take contaminants in sedimen	- Shallow and deep groundwater from the Site typically flows towards the west towards the QP, which could carry contaminants into its sediment PAH concentrations greater than conservative ESLs, and arsenic and PAH concentrations greater than USEPA industrial soil RSLs, have been found in QP sediment.				
- Erosion of surface soils from the Site could also carry Site-related contaminants to the elevation, via overland surface flow.			ontaminants to the G	MR and/or the QP, which is at a lower			
	- During floo	od events, off-site contaminan					
	-Contaminants could be toxic to benthic organisms and impact other species in the a						
		e the GMR and QP for recreat asume the fish caught in the Q		er, they could come	into dermal contact with the sediment.		
iv) General		t data collected will be	The data collected from	The data collected	The data collected will be compared		
intended us for data		ainst ESLs to assess whether ystem health is potentially	sampling locations adjacent to the landfill's	will be used to detect aquatic life	against ESLs to assess if QP aquatic ecosystem health is potentially		

Page **3** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:		GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments
0	ation Phase: gation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value
	determine if contaminant edible fish c Additionally to USEPA I screening ev human healt The data col	nt data will be used to bioaccumulative are present and to model oncentrations for the HHRA. The CRA will compare the data industrial Soil criteria as a raluation to identify potential h risks. Lected will ultimately be Baseline Risk Assessment for	boundaries will be compared to upstream conditions, to determine if there are any measurable inputs of contaminants from the Site. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Baseline Risk Assessment for OU2.	impairments and assess their relative severity. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Baseline Risk Assessment for OU2.	impaired. Additionally, CRA will compare the data to USEPA Industrial Soil criteria to identify any potential human health risks. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Baseline Risk Assessment for OU2. The data will be used to determine if there is a need to cap or otherwise remediate the sediments in the QP. The sediment data will be used to determine if bioaccumulative contaminants are present and to model edible fish concentrations for the HHRA.
v) Resources, constraints, deadlines	Sufficient replan.	sources will be committed to s	sample sediments under the	OU2 RI/FS work	Sufficient resources will be committed to sample sediments under the OU2 RI/FS work plan.

2 Goals of the Study:

Page **4** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		(GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments
Investige DQO	Investigation Phase: Investigation Item: Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological		Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value
Step:		Screening Values			
i) Primary study question	contain Site-	nt in the GMR and/or QP related contaminants at as greater than ESLs and/or l criteria for protection of ??	Does the Site add significantly to contaminants in sediments in the GMR adjacent to and downgradient of the Site?	Are benthic organisms at risk due to sediment concentrations caused by Siterelated contamination?	Do sediments in the QP contain contaminant concentrations greater than ESLs and/or Industrial soil criteria for protection of human health?
ii) Alternate outcomes or actions	contaminants	demonstrates that in sediment are less than els/criteria, no further llanned.	- If sampling demonstrates conditions adjacent to the Site are less than or equal to those found upstream, no further sampling is planned.	- If the community survey demonstrates that aquatic life in the GMR is not affected by Site- related contaminants, no further sampling is planned.	- If sampling demonstrates that contaminants in sediment are less than screening levels/criteria, no further sampling is planned.

Page **5** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:		GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
DQO Step:	Investigation Phase: Investigation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value	
	contaminant concentratio levels/criteri concentratio conditions (s further evalu	g demonstrates that s are present at ms greater than screening a, and that contaminant ms are greater than upstream see Phase 1B-GMR to right), nation and/or remedial ay be warranted.	- If sampling demonstrates contaminant concentrations are greater than those upstream, and that contaminant concentrations are greater than Action Level criteria (see Phase 1A-GMR to left), further evaluation and/or remediation may be warranted. Further evaluation may consist of an ecological study (i.e., benthic community study; see Phase 2-GMR to the right).	- If the community survey demonstrates that Site-related contaminants impair aquatic life in the GMR and/or the QP, further evaluation and/or remedial measures may be warranted.	- If sampling demonstrates that contaminants are present at concentrations greater than screening levels/criteria, further evaluation and/or remedial measures may be warranted (i.e., acute bioassays on representative QP sediments).	
prob (deci	ype of Decision (Adlern sion or nation) ¹	ction Level)	Decision (Action Level)	Decision (Action Level)	Decision (Action Level)	

Page **6** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		(GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
		Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value	
iv.a) Decision statement	concentration Soil RSLs, E Equilibrium I Benchmark T 1, or if the or excess Simul ∑SEM) > 150 sediments neconcentration	hether any contaminant as are greater than Industrial SLs, or if the sum of Partitioning Sediment Coxic Units (\(\sumetice\)ESBTU_{FCV}\) > ganic carbon normalized taneously Extracted Metal (0 \(\mu\)mol/goc in the GMR ar the Site, or if the as of arsenic are greater than Effects Concentration	Determine whether any measurable input of contaminants from the Site, relative to upstream conditions, occurs in the GMR sediments near the Site.	Determine whether any measureable impact to aquatic life in the GMR occurs due to contaminants from the Site, relative to upstream conditions	Determine whether any contaminant concentrations are greater than ESLs, USEPA Industrial soil criteria, Sum of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmark Toxic Units (\sum ESBTU _{FCV}) > 1, or organic carbon normalized excess Simultaneously Extracted Metal (\sum SEM) > 150 µmol/goc in the on-Site pond sediments near the Site.	
iv.b) Estimation statement & assumptions						

3 <u>Identify</u> <u>Information</u> <u>Inputs:</u>

Page **7** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	(GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments
0	tion Phase: vation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value
i) Information types needed				A Benthic community survey may be required to assess the impact to aquatic life in the GMR near the Site.	Sediment sample analysis is required to assess conditions in the QP.
ii) Information sources	 New data from the investigation will form the basis of assessment. The results from three previous sediment samples collected from the GMR and QP, as well as results of soil samples will be considered during interpretation of the data obtained. Sediment samples will be analyzed for PAHs, divalent metals (copper, cadmium, mercury, nickel, lead and zinc) using AVS/SEM analyses, and total metals (including arsenic). 		- New data from the community survey will form the basis of assessment. The results from Phase 1A-GMR and 1B- GMR(see left) will be considered during interpretation of the data obtained.	- New data from the investigation will form the basis of assessment. The results from previous sediment samples collected from the QP, as well as results of soil samples will be considered during interpretation of the data obtained. Sediment samples will be analyzed for PAHs, divalent metals (copper, cadmium, mercury, nickel, lead and zinc) using AVS/SEM analyses, and total metals (including arsenic).	

Page **8** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		GMR Sediment			Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
-	tion Phase: ation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value	
Step:		Screening Values	opsireum Conunions	Sumpung	Leological Screening value	
iii) Basis of action level	retion level - Industrial Soil RSLs - Final Chronic Values (FCV) for PAHs, $\sum ESBTU_{FCV} < 1$ - Excess SEM $< 150~\mu mol/g_{oc}$ - PEC values for arsenic			Population and community level response will be evaluated. A benthic	Action levels are: - Industrial Soil RSLs - Final Chronic Values (FCV) for PAHs, ∑ESBTU _{FCV} < 1 - Excess SEM < 150 μmol/g _{oc} - PEC values for arsenic Methods are described in the Field	
Appropriate sampling & analysis methods	Assurance Pr Organic carb or Walkley-E PAH results v USEPA, 2000 Partitioning S Benthic Orga Divalent met	s Standard Operating Proceduroject Plan (CRA, September 2 on in sediments will be analyzed Black methods. Will be evaluated against ∑ES 3. Procedures for the Derivative Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) unisms: PAH Mixtures. EPA-6 als results will be evaluated against ∑SEM.	2008). Zed using the Lloyd Kahn BTU _{FCV} , as detailed in on of Equilibrium for the Protection of 500-R-02-013.	community survey will be completed in accordance with USEPA Rapid Bioassessment Protocols (EPA 841-B-99-002) or OEPA assessment methods (OEPA, 1989. Biological criteria for the protection of aquatic life), depending on the habitat.	Sampling Plan, CRA's Standard Operating Procedures, and the Quality Assurance Project Plan. Organic carbon in sediments will be analyzed using the Lloyd Kahn or Walkley-Black methods. PAH results will be evaluated against ∑ESBTU _{FCV} , as detailed in USEPA, 2003. Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures. EPA- 600-R-02-013. Metals results will be evaluated against the organic carbon normalized excess ∑SEM.	

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium: **GMR Sediment** Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments Phase 1A - OP Investigation Phase: Phase 1A - GMR Phase 1B – GMR Phase 2 - GMR Comparison to Human Health and Investigation Item: Comparison to Human Comparison to Benthic DQOHealth and Ecological **Upstream Conditions** Sampling Ecological Screening Value Step: Screening Values

- 4 <u>Define the</u> <u>Boundaries of</u> the Study:
 - i) Target population, sample units

The target population are the upper (available) layer of sediments (0 - 6 inches below sediment/water interface). and subsurface sediment (greater than 6 inches below sediment/water interface) in the GMR adjacent to the Site. The sampling units are individual grab samples collected from the near-Site reaches of the GMR. Depositional areas will be targeted for sediment sample locations. Sediment samples will also be collected in depositional locations immediately downstream of any point discharges identified between the upstream dam and the southern Site boundary.

The target population is the upper (available) layer of sediments (0 - 6 inches below sediment/water interface) and subsurface sediment (greater than 6 inches below sediment/water interface) in the upstream sampling locations. The sampling units are individual grab samples collected from the upstream reaches of the GMR. Depositional areas will be targeted for sediment sample locations. Sediment samples will be

The target population is the aquatic life in the GMR in the vicinity of the Site. The sampling units are composite samples collected from the GMR. divided by upstream, near-Site, and downstream reaches. Sampling efforts may be concentrated in near-shore habitats, where most species will be collected.

The target populations are the upper (available) layer of sediments (0 - 6 inches below sediment/water interface), and subsurface sediment (greater than 6 inches below sediment/water interface) in the QP. The sampling units are individual grab samples collected from the QP. Depositional areas and areas where visual evidence of potential leachate migration is observed will be targeted for sediment sample locations.

Page **10** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	GMR Sediment			Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
DQO Step:	Investigation Phase: Investigation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to Upstream Conditions	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic Sampling	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value	
			collected in depositional locations immediately downstream of any point discharges identified between the upstream dam and east of the Dryden Road bridge.			

Page **11** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		(GMR Sediment		Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments
	vestigation Phase: Investigation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human	Phase 1B – GMR Comparison to	Phase 2 - GMR Benthic	Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and
DQO Step:		Health and Ecological Screening Values	Upstream Conditions	Sampling	Ecological Screening Value
ii) Spec spatial bounda	occurring to bridge (i.e., a Site), and the near (south a Sediment sar the top of the inches below interface), ar greater than	mpling locations are those the west of the Dryden Road as surface water passes the ese will be located on the and east) shore of the GMR. mples will be collected from the sediment layer (i.e., 0 - 6 or the sediment/water and subsurface sediments (i.e., 6 inches below the ter interface) in the GMR.	Upstream sampling locations are to the east of the Dryden Road bridge. Sediment samples will be collected from the top of the sediment layer (i.e., 0 - 6 inches below the sediment/water interface), and subsurface sediments (i.e., greater than 6 inches below the sediment/water interface) in the GMR.	Upstream sampling locations are to the east of the Dryden Road bridge. Near-Site sampling locations are those occurring to the west of the Dryden Road bridge (i.e., as surface water passes the Site), and these will be located on the near (south and east) shore of the GMR. Downstream sampling locations are to the south of the City of Dayton Wastewater Treatment Plant.	Sediment samples will be collected from the top of the sediment layer (i.e., 0 - 6 inches below the sediment/water interface), and subsurface sediments (i.e., greater than 6 inches below the sediment/water interface) in the QP.

Page **12** of **12**

TABLE 3.5

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS -SEDIMENT INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	GMR Sediment			Quarry Pond (QP) Sediments	
	tion Phase: ation Item:	Phase 1A – GMR Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Values	Phase 1B – GMR Phase 2 - GMR Comparison to Benthic Upstream Conditions Sampling		Phase 1A - QP Comparison to Human Health and Ecological Screening Value	
iii) Specify temporal boundaries	The temporal boundaries are indefinite, assuming continued exposure at levels found during sampling. The practical temporal limits are based on exposure assumptions forming the basis for the Action Levels.				The temporal boundaries are indefinite, assuming continued exposure at levels found during sampling. The practical temporal limits are based on exposure assumptions forming the basis for the Action Levels.	
iv) Identify any other practical constraints	dams/weirs	ay be postponed due to flooding are encountered, samples will a downstream of any upstream	Sampling may be postponed due to flooding or iced conditions of the QP.			
v.a) Scale of inference for decision making		s to Action Levels will be on an individual-location	Criteria in biological indices will be used to evaluate the impacts on aquatic life.	Comparisons to Action Levels will be carried out on an individual-location basis.		
v.b) Scale of estimates						